

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,964	09/12/2003	Eldon L. Decker	1886A1	8568	
7590 12/28/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PPG INDUSTRIES, INC.			AHMED, SHEEBA		
Intellectual Prop	perty Department	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Pittsburgh, PA		1773			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	¥			
Office Action Summary		10/661,9	64	DECKER ET AL.				
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		Sheeba /	Ahmed	1773				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS			
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evereply within the stated will apply and wature, cause the appropriate t	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)[又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 October 200	4.					
·		his action is r						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-8,11,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected to claim(s) <u>3-5,9,10 and 12</u> is/are objected to claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from co	,					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least set of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least set of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least set of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least set of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least set of the papplication for a least set o	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	ge			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	:)			

Application/Control Number: 10/661,964

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 1 and 12 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (US 4,472,479).

Hayes et al. disclose fluorescent printing ribbons, which have a reflective pigment therein (Column 1, lines 11-15). To prepare the ribbon, layer of wax or other suitable medium and fluorescent material is deposited on the ribbon base, which may be a thin film of plastic, and a reflective barrier material is added to this layer or deposited in a second layer of wax upon the first layer. The barrier pigments used are pigments of finely divided metals or materials with metallic colors which are reflective and do not shift the wavelength of the fluorescent light (Column 2, lines 1-4). The pigments are finely divided pigments of reflective material which do not shift the wavelength of fluorescent light and examples include mica platelets coated with titanium oxide. The fluorescent material is a mixture of fluorescent dyes suspended in a polyester resin

Application/Control Number: 10/661,964

Art Unit: 1773

(Column 3, lines 40-55). With regards to the limitations of claims 6 and 7, the Examiner takes the position that the phrase "are produced by milling organic pigments with milling media having a particle size less than about 0.3", simply recites a process limitation and the resulting product is identical to one disclosed by Hayes et al. Furthermore, the Examiner takes the position that the ribbon taught by Hayes et al. inherently meets the limitation that said coating composition exhibits a first appearance on face dominated by absorbance of light by said colorants and a second appearance on flop dominated by fluorescence of the colorants given that the structure of the ribbon and the colorants and reflective pigments taught by Hayes et al. and the structure of the instantly claimed invention and the colorants and reflective pigments therein is identical. All limitations of claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 are disclosed in the above reference.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on October 5, 2004 regarding the rejection of claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 13, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayes et al. (US 4,472,479) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the above rejection and submit that the structure taught by Hayes et al. does not meet the limitation that said coating composition exhibits a first appearance on face dominated by absorbance of light by said colorants and a second appearance on flop dominated by fluorescence of the colorants. However, the Examiner has taken the position that such a limitation is met by the structure taught by Hayes et al. given that the structure of the ribbon and the colorants and reflective pigments taught by Hayes et

Application/Control Number: 10/661,964

Art Unit: 1773

al. and the structure of the instantly claimed invention and the colorants and reflective

pigments therein is identical.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-5, 9, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-

1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am

to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)272-1535. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,964 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheeba Ahmed

Art Unit 1773

December 23, 2004